

**Sample Ballot for General Election
Larimer County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 5, 2024**

Tina Harris
Clerk and Recorder

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7.5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.



To vote for a named candidate, or for or against a ballot measure, completely fill in the oval to the left of your choice. Use blue or black ink.



If a race includes a write-in line, you may vote for a write-in candidate by completely filling in the oval to the left of the line and printing at least the last name of an eligible write-in candidate on the line.



To make a correction, draw a bold line through the mistaken selection, then fill in the correct oval. If you voted for the only candidate and want to withdraw that vote, request a replacement ballot.

Federal Offices	Federal Offices	State Offices
Presidential Electors (Vote for One Pair)	Representative to the 119th United States Congress - District 4 (Vote for One)	State Board of Education Member - Congressional District 8 (Vote for One)
<input type="radio"/> Kamala D. Harris / Tim Walz Democratic	<input type="radio"/> Lauren Boebert Republican	<input type="radio"/> Rhonda Solis Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Donald J. Trump / JD Vance Republican	<input type="radio"/> Trisha Calvarese Democratic	<input type="radio"/> Yazmin Navarro Republican
<input type="radio"/> Blake Huber / Andrea Denault Approval Voting	<input type="radio"/> Frank Atwood (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms) Approval Voting	Regent of the University of Colorado - At Large (Vote for One)
<input type="radio"/> Chase Russell Oliver / Mike ter Maat Libertarian	<input type="radio"/> Hannah Goodman Libertarian	<input type="radio"/> Eric Rinard Republican
<input type="radio"/> Jill Stein / Rudolph Ware Green	<input type="radio"/> Paul Noel Fiorino Unity	<input type="radio"/> Elliott Hood Democratic
<input type="radio"/> Randall Terry / Stephen E Broden American Constitution	Representative to the 119th United States Congress - District 8 (Vote for One)	<input type="radio"/> Thomas Reasoner Approval Voting
<input type="radio"/> Cornel West / Melina Abdullah Unity	<input type="radio"/> Yadira Caraveo Democratic	<input type="radio"/> T.J. Cole Unity
<input type="radio"/> Robert F. Kennedy Jr. / Nicole Shanahan Unaffiliated	<input type="radio"/> Gabe Evans Republican	State Senator - District 14 (Vote for One)
<input type="radio"/> Write-In	<input type="radio"/> Chris Baum (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms) Approval Voting	<input type="radio"/> Cathy Kipp Democratic
Representative to the 119th United States Congress - District 2 (Vote for One)	<input type="radio"/> Susan Patricia Hall Unity	<input type="radio"/> Phoebe McWilliams Republican
<input type="radio"/> Joe Neguse Democratic	<input type="radio"/> Write-In	<input type="radio"/> Jeffrey Brosius Libertarian
<input type="radio"/> Marshall Dawson Republican	State Offices	State Senator - District 23 (Vote for One)
<input type="radio"/> Cynthia Munhos de Aquino Sirianni Unity	State Board of Education Member - Congressional District 2 (Vote for One)	<input type="radio"/> Barb Kirkmeyer Republican
<input type="radio"/> Jan Kok (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms) Approval Voting	<input type="radio"/> Kathy Gebhardt Democratic	<input type="radio"/> Write-In
<input type="radio"/> Gaylon Kent Libertarian	<input type="radio"/> Write-In	State Representative - District 49 (Vote for One)
<input type="radio"/> Write-In	State Board of Education Member - Congressional District 4 (Vote for One)	<input type="radio"/> Steve Ferrante Republican
	<input type="radio"/> Krista Holtzmann Democratic	<input type="radio"/> Lesley Smith Democratic
	<input type="radio"/> Kristi "KBB" Burton Brown Republican	State Representative - District 51 (Vote for One)
		<input type="radio"/> Ron Weinberg Republican
		<input type="radio"/> Sarah McKeen Democratic
		State Representative - District 52 (Vote for One)
		<input type="radio"/> Yara Zokaie Democratic
		<input type="radio"/> Steve Yurash Center

State Offices		Judicial Retention Questions		Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.
State Representative - District 53 (Vote for One)		Colorado Court of Appeals Judge (Vote YES or NO)		
<input type="radio"/> Andy Boesenecker	Democratic	Shall Judge Stephanie Dunn of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> Donna Walter	Republican	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
State Representative - District 64 (Vote for One)		Colorado Court of Appeals Judge (Vote YES or NO)		
<input type="radio"/> Ryan Armagost	Republican	Shall Judge Jerry N. Jones of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> Mark K Matthews	Democratic	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
State Representative - District 65 (Vote for One)		Colorado Court of Appeals Judge (Vote YES or NO)		
<input type="radio"/> Will Walters	Democratic	Shall Judge W. Eric Kuhn of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> Lori Garcia Sander	Republican	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
District Attorney - 8th Judicial District (Vote for One)		Colorado Court of Appeals Judge (Vote YES or NO)		
<input type="radio"/> Gordon McLaughlin	Democratic	Shall Judge Gilbert M. Román of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> Dawn Lynn Downs	Unaffiliated	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
County Offices		Colorado Court of Appeals Judge (Vote YES or NO)		
County Commissioner - District 2 (Vote for One)		Shall Judge Timothy J. Schutz of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> Kristin Stephens	Democratic	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
<input type="radio"/> Erick Selgren	Republican	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
County Commissioner - District 3 (Vote for One)		District Court Judge - 8th Judicial District (Vote YES or NO)		
<input type="radio"/> Jody Shaddock-McNally	Democratic	Shall Judge Sarah B. Cure of the 8th Judicial District be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> Ben "Uncle Benny" Aste	Republican	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
County Clerk and Recorder (Vote for One)		District Court Judge - 8th Judicial District (Vote YES or NO)		
<input type="radio"/> Tina Harris	Republican	Shall Justice Maria E. Berkenkotter of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> Wyatt Schwendeman-Curtis	Democratic	<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
Judicial Retention Questions		County Court Judge - Larimer (Vote YES or NO)		
Colorado Supreme Court Justice (Vote YES or NO)		Shall Judge Joseph Dean Findley of the 8th Judicial District be retained in office?		
Shall Justice Maria E. Berkenkotter of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?		<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
Colorado Supreme Court Justice (Vote YES or NO)		County Court Judge - Larimer (Vote YES or NO)		
Shall Justice Brian D. Boatright of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?		Shall Judge Kraig Ecton of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
Colorado Supreme Court Justice (Vote YES or NO)		County Court Judge - Larimer (Vote YES or NO)		
Shall Justice Monica M. Márquez of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?		Shall Judge Joshua Blake Lehman of the Larimer County Court be retained in office?		
<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		<input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		
State of Colorado				
Amendment G (CONSTITUTIONAL)				
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the expansion of eligibility for the property tax exemption for veterans with a disability to include a veteran who does not have a service-connected disability rated as a one hundred percent permanent disability but does have individual unemployability status?				
<input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST				
Amendment H (CONSTITUTIONAL)				
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning judicial discipline, and, in connection therewith, establishing an independent judicial discipline adjudicative board, setting standards for judicial review of a discipline case, and clarifying when discipline proceedings become public?				
<input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST				
Amendment I (CONSTITUTIONAL)				
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning creating an exception to the right to bail for cases of murder in the first degree when proof is evident or presumption is great?				
<input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST				
Amendment J (CONSTITUTIONAL)				
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution removing the ban on same-sex marriage?				
<input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST				
Amendment K (CONSTITUTIONAL)				
Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the modification of certain deadlines in connection with specified elections?				
<input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST				
Amendment 79 (CONSTITUTIONAL)				
Shall there be a change to the Colorado constitution recognizing the right to abortion, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting the state and local governments from denying, impeding, or discriminating against the exercise of that right, allowing abortion to be a covered service under health insurance plans for Colorado state and local government employees and for enrollees in state and local governmental insurance programs?				
<input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST				

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.	State of Colorado	Larimer County
State of Colorado	Proposition 128 (STATUTORY)	Ballot Issue 1A
Amendment 80 (CONSTITUTIONAL)	<p>Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning parole eligibility for an offender convicted of certain crimes, and, in connection therewith, requiring an offender who is convicted of second degree murder; first degree assault; class 2 felony kidnapping; sexual assault; first degree arson; first degree burglary; or aggravated robbery committed on or after January 1, 2025, to serve 85 percent of the sentence imposed before being eligible for parole, and requiring an offender convicted of any such crime committed on or after January 1, 2025, who was previously convicted of any two crimes of violence, not just those crimes enumerated in this measure, to serve the full sentence imposed before beginning to serve parole?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>SHALL LARIMER COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED \$17,200,000 ANNUALLY (ESTIMATED FIRST FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE IN 2025), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, FOR A PERIOD OF 15 YEARS BY IMPOSING A 0.15% (15 CENTS ON 100 DOLLARS) COUNTYWIDE TRANSPORTATION SALES AND USE TAX FOR THE PURPOSES OF:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IMPROVING ROADWAY, BRIDGE, AND INTERSECTION SAFETY, SUCH AS PEDESTRIAN CROSSING, SIGNAGE AND SIGNALING, LANE IMPROVEMENTS, AND BICYCLE TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENTS; • IMPROVING RESILIENCE OF TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE AGAINST NATURAL DISASTERS; • REPAIRING, REPLACING, IMPROVING, MAINTAINING, AND CONSTRUCTING ROADS, BRIDGES, SIDEWALKS, BICYCLE LANES AND PATHS, AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE; • IMPROVING TRAVEL CORRIDORS BETWEEN COMMUNITIES IN LARIMER COUNTY FOR SAFER AND MORE EFFICIENT COMMUTING, RECREATING, AND DELIVERY OF GOODS AND SERVICES; • SUPPORTING INCREASED ACCESS TO COMMUNITY TRANSIT SERVICES AND OTHER MOBILITY OPTIONS;
<p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution establishing the right to school choice for children in kindergarten through 12th grade, and, in connection therewith, declaring that school choice includes neighborhood, charter, and private schools; home schooling; open enrollment options; and future innovations in education?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	Proposition 129 (STATUTORY)	<p>AND SHALL THE COUNTY BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX WITHOUT LIMITATION BY ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' RESOLUTION REFERRING THIS BALLOT ISSUE APPROVED SEPTEMBER 3, 2024?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>
Proposition JJ (STATUTORY)	<p>Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes creating a new veterinary professional associate profession, and, in connection therewith, establishing qualifications including a master's degree in veterinary clinical care or the equivalent as determined by the state board of veterinary medicine to be a veterinary professional associate; requiring registration with the state board; allowing a registered veterinary professional associate to practice veterinary medicine under the supervision of a licensed veterinarian; and making it a misdemeanor to practice as a veterinary professional associate without an active registration?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	
<p>Without raising taxes, may the state keep and spend all sports betting tax revenue above voter-approved limits to fund water conservation and protection projects instead of refunding revenue to casinos?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	Proposition 130 (STATUTORY)	
Proposition KK (STATUTORY)	<p>Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning state funding for peace officer training and support, and, in connection therewith, directing the legislature to appropriate 350 million dollars to the peace officer training and support fund for municipal and county law enforcement agencies to hire and retain peace officers; allowing the fund to be used for pay, bonuses, initial and continuing education and training, and a death benefit for a peace officer, police, fire and first responder killed in the line of duty; and requiring the funding to supplement existing appropriations?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	
<p>SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$39,000,000 ANNUALLY TO FUND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, INCLUDING FOR MILITARY VETERANS AND AT-RISK YOUTH, SCHOOL SAFETY AND GUN VIOLENCE PREVENTION, AND SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND OTHER VIOLENT CRIMES BY AUTHORIZING A TAX ON GUN DEALERS, GUN MANUFACTURERS, AND AMMUNITION VENDORS AT THE RATE OF 6.5% OF THE NET TAXABLE SALES FROM THE RETAIL SALE PRECURSOR PART, OR AMMUNITION, WITH THE STATE KEEPING AND SPENDING ALL OF THE NEW TAX REVENUE AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	Proposition 131 (STATUTORY)	
Proposition 127 (STATUTORY)	<p>Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a prohibition on the hunting of mountain lions, lynx, and bobcats, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting the intentional killing, wounding, pursuing, entrapping, or discharging or releasing of a deadly weapon at a mountain lion, lynx, or bobcat; creating eight exceptions to this prohibition including for the protection of human life, property, and livestock; establishing a violation of this prohibition as a class 1 misdemeanor; and increasing fines and limiting wildlife license privileges for persons convicted of this crime?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	

City of Fort Collins	City of Fort Collins	City of Fort Collins
<p>Ballot Issue 2A</p> <p>CITY-INITIATED BALLOT ISSUE NO. 1</p> <p>WITHOUT RAISING ADDITIONAL TAXES, SHALL THE CITY'S EXISTING 0.25% SALES AND USE TAX (25 CENTS ON A \$100 PURCHASE) FIRST APPROVED BY THE VOTERS IN 2005 FOR THE STREET MAINTENANCE PROGRAM BE EXTENDED FROM ITS CURRENT EXPIRATION AT THE END OF DECEMBER 31, 2025, THROUGH THE END OF DECEMBER 31, 2045; PROVIDED THAT THE REVENUES DERIVED FROM SUCH TAX EXTENSION SHALL BE USED TO PAY THE COSTS OF PLANNING, DESIGN, RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION, INCIDENTAL UPGRADES AND OTHER COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> THE REPAIR AND RENOVATION OF CITY STREETS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, CURBS, GUTTERS, BRIDGES, SIDEWALKS, PARKWAYS, SHOULDERS AND MEDIANS, AND TRAFFIC CONTROL INFRASTRUCTURE; <p>AND FURTHER PROVIDED THAT THE FULL AMOUNT OF REVENUES DERIVED FROM THE TAX EXTENSION MAY BE RETAINED AND EXPENDED BY THE CITY NOTWITHSTANDING ANY STATE REVENUE OR EXPENDITURE LIMITATION, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>Ballot Question 2C</p> <p>CITY-INITIATED PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 2</p> <p>Shall Article IX of the Charter of the City of Fort Collins, regarding the recall process, be repealed and reenacted in the form set forth in Ordinance No. 095, 2024, which makes edits for clarity and consistency and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarifies the usage of the term "shall" by replacing with "will," "must" or other appropriate language; modernizes the language to be more inclusive by removing he/she language; revises and reorganizes Article IX to be simpler, easier to follow and clearer; retains the same percentage requirements for signatures (based on first-choice votes with ranked choice voting) and increases timeframes for circulation of a recall petition, with an additional increase in the time to circulate a petition to recall the mayor; simplifies review process and removes the allowance for time for "cure" of technical errors and omissions; tightens timeframe for protest hearing and decision; requires Council to set recall measure for vote for the next Tuesday for which all election deadlines can be met and if that earliest meetable date is within 77 days of a November election, it must go on that ballot; clarifies that if the office held by the subject of the recall is on the next November election ballot and the election on the recall would be at that election or later, the recall process terminates; and adds rules for computation of time and consistent deadline timeframes? <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>Ballot Question 2D</p> <p>CITY-INITIATED PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 3</p> <p>Shall Article X of the Charter of the City of Fort Collins, regarding the initiative process and referendum process, be repealed and reenacted in the form set forth in Ordinance No. 096, 2024, which makes edits for clarity and consistency and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarifies the usage of the term "shall" by replacing with "will," "must" or other appropriate language; modernizes the language to be more inclusive by removing he/she language; revises and reorganizes Article X to be simpler and easier to follow; makes the City Clerk responsible for preparing a general statement for inclusion in a petition and limits requirement to include entire ordinance in each petition section; increases time for City Clerk petition review and scheduling protests; simplifies review process and removes technical "cure" provision to conform to Municipal Election Code; tightens timeframe for protest hearing and decision; requires Council to set initiative measures for vote at next timely November election; requires Council to set referendum measures for vote no later than next regular or special municipal election; and adds rules for computation of time and consistent deadline timeframes? <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>
<p>Ballot Question 2B</p> <p>CITY-INITIATED PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1</p> <p>Shall Article VIII of the Charter of the City of Fort Collins, regarding City elections, be amended to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clarify the usage of the term "shall" by replacing with "will," "must" or other appropriate language; modernize the language to be more inclusive by removing he/she language; remove requirement that Chief Deputy City Clerk serve on the Board of Election and allow the City Clerk to designate the lead election expert; eliminate vague language for challenging nominations and qualifications of candidates (substituting the Municipal Election Code process); and add rules for computation of time and consistent deadline timeframes? <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>City of Loveland</p> <p>Ballot Issue 2E</p> <p>AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF LOVELAND TO IMPOSE AN ADDITIONAL 1.00% SALES TAX WITH THE REVENUE GENERATED BY SUCH TAX TO BE USED FOR MUNICIPAL PURPOSES</p> <p>SHALL CITY OF LOVELAND TAXES BE INCREASED \$22 MILLION ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS AS ARE GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER FROM THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL 1.00% SALES TAX WITH THE REVENUE GENERATED BY SUCH ADDITIONAL SALES TAX TO BE USED FOR ANY MUNICIPAL PURPOSE, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ENABLING THE CITY TO CONTINUE TO PROVIDE VALUABLE CITY SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE; AND SHALL THE CITY BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUE AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>

City of Loveland	City of Loveland	Town of Wellington
<p>Ballot Issue 2F</p> <p>AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF LOVELAND TO IMPOSE AN EXCISE TAX OF 5% ON THE SALE OF RETAIL MARIJUANA AND RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS WITHIN THE CITY, WITH THE REVENUES FROM SUCH TAX TO BE USED FOR MUNICIPAL PURPOSES</p> <p>SHALL CITY OF LOVELAND TAXES BE INCREASED \$5 MILLION ANNUALLY IN 2025 (THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR) AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS AS ARE GENERATED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER FROM THE IMPOSITION OF AN EXCISE TAX AT THE RATE OF 5.00% ON THE SALE OF RETAIL MARIJUANA AND RETAIL MARIJUANA PRODUCTS SOLD WITHIN THE CITY, WITH THE TAX REVENUES RECEIVED FROM SUCH TAX TO BE SPENT ON ANY LAWFUL MUNICIPAL PURPOSE; AND SHALL THE CITY BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AND THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUE AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>Ballot Question 2H</p> <p>AUTHORIZING THE OPERATION OF A LIMITED NUMBER OF MEDICAL AND RETAIL MARIJUANA BUSINESSES IN THE CITY OF LOVELAND</p> <p>Shall the City of Loveland, Colorado allow the operation of a limited number of medical and retail marijuana businesses in the City and amend the Loveland Municipal Code by the addition of new sections permitting, subject to regulations to be adopted by ordinances of the City, certain activities relating to marijuana, and repeal Chapters 7.60 and 7.65 which prohibit the establishment of medical and retail marijuana businesses, or other sections of the Loveland Municipal Code, to the extent that they are inconsistent with such authorization, subject to, and commencing upon the adoption by City Council of, local regulations governing the time, place, manner, and number of retail and medical marijuana stores and local licensing requirements, which shall include provisions permitting those licensees established in the City prior to the City prohibiting all marijuana business 120 days to submit an application for a marijuana business license prior to the City accepting applications for a marijuana business license from new applicants, as well as provisions prohibiting retail and medical marijuana stores in residentially zoned areas, as may be amended from time to time, and shall the authorization in this question be expressly contingent upon voter approval of a 5.00% excise tax on the price paid by the purchaser on the sale of retail marijuana and retail marijuana products at the November 5, 2024 election?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>Ballot Question 2K</p> <p>Shall the Town of Wellington's Regular Elections be changed from the first Tuesday of even-numbered years to the first Tuesday succeeding the first Monday of November in each even-numbered year, with the first of such elections beginning in November 2026, and shall the terms of sitting Trustees whose terms would expire in April of 2026 be extended through the completion of such election proceedings?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>
<p>Ballot Issue 2G</p> <p>AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF LOVELAND TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND EXCESS REVENUES FOR POLICE AND FIRE, STREET CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE, AND PARKS CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE.</p> <p>WITHOUT CREATING OR IMPOSING ANY NEW TAX OR INCREASING THE RATE OF ANY EXISTING TAX, SHALL THE CITY OF LOVELAND, COLORADO BE PERMITTED FOR A TWELVE-YEAR PERIOD BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2025, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2036, TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND SPEND ALL CITY REVENUES IN EXCESS OF THE SPENDING, REVENUE AND OTHER LIMITATIONS IMPOSED BY ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, WITH SUCH EXCESS REVENUES TO BE USED FOR POLICE AND FIRE, STREET CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE, AND PARKS CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>Ballot Question 2I</p> <p>Amendments to Sections 8-1(a) and 8-1(e) to reduce the number of votes required by the Loveland City Council to appoint or remove the City Manager.</p> <p>Shall Sections 8-1(a), 8-1(e) of the Loveland City Charter be repealed and replaced to provide "(a) The City Council, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Council, shall appoint a City Manager to serve at the pleasure of the Council. (e) The removal of the City Manager shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Council." and shall such amendments become effective on January 1, 2025?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	
	<p>Ballot Question 2J</p> <p>Amendments to Sections 9-1(a) and 9-1(d) to reduce the number of votes required by the Loveland City Council to appoint or remove the City Attorney.</p> <p>Shall Sections 9-1(a), and 9-1(d) of the Loveland City Charter be repealed and replaced to provide "(a) The City Council, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Council, shall appoint a City Attorney to serve at the pleasure of the Council. (d) The removal of the City Attorney shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire Council." and shall such amendments become effective on January 1, 2025?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	

Poudre School District R-1	Thompson School District R2-J	Thompson School District R2-J
<p>Ballot Issue 4A</p> <p>IN ORDER TO MAKE MORE GENERAL FUND REVENUES AVAILABLE FOR THE PURPOSES SET FORTH BELOW, SHALL POUDBRE SCHOOL DISTRICT R-1 TAXES BE INCREASED BY UP TO \$49,000,000 ANNUALLY COMMENCING IN TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2025, INCREASING WITH THE RATE OF INFLATION, FOR THE PURPOSES AUTHORIZED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-54-108.7, C.R.S., (THE DEBT FREE SCHOOLS ACT), WITH THE EFFECT THAT MORE GENERAL FUND REVENUE WILL BE AVAILABLE TO IMPROVE AND MAINTAIN THE QUALITY EDUCATION INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATTRACTING AND RETAINING HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS AND STAFF BY MAINTAINING COMPETITIVE SALARIES AND PROVIDING NECESSARY CLASSROOM MATERIALS; • PROVIDING THE EDUCATION THAT TODAY'S STUDENTS NEED FOR TOMORROW'S JOBS AND CAREERS, INCLUDING CLASSROOMS FOR CAREER, TECHNOLOGY, AND SKILLED TRADES THAT PROVIDE STUDENTS WITH REAL WORLD JOB SKILLS AND PROVIDING BOOKS, TECHNOLOGY, FACILITIES AND OTHER MATERIALS NEEDED FOR STUDENTS TO DEVELOP THESE SKILLS; • SUPPORTING SMALL, NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOLS TO PROVIDE STUDENTS INDIVIDUAL ATTENTION AND THE GREATER SENSE OF COMMUNITY THEY NEED TO LEARN AND THRIVE; • MAINTAINING AND IMPROVING SCHOOLS AND FACILITIES TO ENSURE SECURE, HEALTHY AND COMPREHENSIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS BY REPLACING OUTDATED ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING AND HVAC SYSTEMS AND ADDRESSING REPAIRS AND RENOVATIONS TO EXTEND THE USEFUL LIFE OF BUILDINGS; • PROVIDING ARTS, MUSIC, LIBRARY, AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION SUPPLIES AND FACILITIES SO STUDENTS RECEIVE A WELL-ROUNDED EDUCATION; <p>AND SHALL SUCH ADDITIONAL REVENUE FROM THIS TAX BE DEPOSITED INTO THE SUPPLEMENTAL CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION, TECHNOLOGY AND MAINTENANCE FUND AND USED FOR ONGOING CASH FUNDING FOR CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION, NEW TECHNOLOGY UPGRADES, AND MAINTENANCE NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT; AND PROVIDED THAT EXPENDITURES WILL BE SUBJECT TO AN ANNUAL AUDIT AND CITIZEN OVERSIGHT; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH TAXES AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>Ballot Issue 5A</p> <p>IN ORDER TO MAKE MORE GENERAL FUND REVENUES AVAILABLE FOR TEACHER AND OTHER STAFF COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS, RECURRING CAPITAL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR NEEDS, TECHNOLOGY ENHANCEMENTS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE SAFETY AND SECURITY OF STUDENTS AND STAFF, TO BE MONITORED BY A CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, SHALL THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J TAXES BE INCREASED UP TO \$13 MILLION IN TAX COLLECTION YEAR 2025, WITH SUCH AMOUNT BEING ADJUSTED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THE PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN INFLATION, BY LEVYING A PROPERTY TAX AT A RATE SUFFICIENT TO GENERATE SUCH AMOUNT; PURSUANT TO SECTION 22-54-108.7, C.R.S., SHALL SUCH ADDITIONAL REVENUES BE UTILIZED FOR ONGOING CASH FUNDING FOR CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION, NEW INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY, EXISTING TECHNOLOGY UPGRADES, AND MAINTENANCE NEEDS OF THE DISTRICT; AND SHALL SUCH TAX REVENUES BE DEPOSITED INTO THE SUPPLEMENTAL CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION, TECHNOLOGY AND MAINTENANCE FUND?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>	<p>Ballot Issue 5B</p> <p>SHALL THOMPSON SCHOOL DISTRICT R2-J DEBT BE INCREASED \$220 MILLION, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF NOT TO EXCEED \$395 MILLION, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED NOT MORE THAN \$32 MILLION ANNUALLY TO PAY SUCH DEBT, TO BE MONITORED BY A CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, ALL FOR THE PURPOSE OF:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION SPACES AND INNOVATION SPACE UPGRADES TO ALLOW FOR PROGRAM EXPANSION; • EQUIPPING AND/OR FURNISHING SCHOOL BUILDINGS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SCHOOL SAFETY AND SECURITY EQUIPMENT INCLUDING VESTIBULES, RADIO AMPLIFICATION SYSTEMS AND PHYSICAL SYSTEM UPGRADES; • ADDRESSING DEFICIENCIES IN ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS BY UPDATING AND/OR EQUIPPING VARIOUS DISTRICT FACILITIES WITH HEATING, VENTILATION, AIR CONDITIONING AND OTHER AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS; • PROVIDING PRIORITY MAINTENANCE UPDATES AT VARIOUS SCHOOL BUILDINGS THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT; • CONSTRUCTING, RENOVATING AND/OR UPGRADING VARIOUS DISTRICT FACILITIES TO ACCOMMODATE GROWTH NEEDS; <p>AND FOR ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING OR IMPROVING ANY CAPITAL ASSETS THAT THE DISTRICT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW TO OWN; AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE IMPOSED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE BUT ONLY IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT (OR TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR SUCH PAYMENT); SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE, FOR A PRICE ABOVE OR BELOW THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF SUCH SERIES, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND WITH SUCH MATURITIES AS PERMITTED BY LAW, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED ONE PERCENT?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> YES/FOR <input type="radio"/> NO/AGAINST</p>

St. Vrain Valley School District RE-1J

**Larimer County Poudre Overlook
Public Improvement District No. 30**

**Larimer County Vine Drive Public
Improvement District No. 29**

Ballot Issue 5C

Ballot Issue 6A

Ballot Issue 6B

WITHOUT IMPOSING ANY NEW TAX, SHALL ST. VRAIN VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED \$739.8 MILLION, WITH A MAXIMUM TOTAL REPAYMENT COST OF NOT MORE THAN \$998.9 MILLION FOR THE PURPOSES OF:

SHALL THE POUDDRE OVERLOOK PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 30 TAXES BE INCREASED \$21,000.00 ANNUALLY (ESTIMATED FIRST FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE IN 2025), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY (IN ADDITION TO THE PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED \$40,000.00 ANNUALLY), THE TOTAL MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED MORE THAN 14.730 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER AND BE USED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENT AND CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF ROADS IN THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT ALL AS SET FORTH IN THE 2004 PETITION FOR IMPROVEMENTS AND CREATION OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5.5% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, IN 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

SHALL THE VINE DRIVE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 29 TAXES BE INCREASED \$18,500.00 ANNUALLY (ESTIMATED FIRST FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE IN 2025), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY (IN ADDITION TO THE PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED \$12,000.00 ANNUALLY), THE TOTAL MILL LEVY NOT TO EXCEED MORE THAN 24.535 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER AND BE USED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENT AND CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF ROADS IN THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT ALL AS SET FORTH IN THE 2004 PETITION FOR IMPROVEMENTS AND CREATION OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5.5% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, IN 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

- IMPROVING SAFETY AND SECURITY, INCLUDING SECURE ENTRY VESTIBULES, BUILDING ACCESS CONTROLS, FIRST RESPONDER COMMUNICATIONS, AND FIRE SPRINKLERS;
- REPLACING OUTDATED ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING, AND HVAC SYSTEMS, AND ADDRESSING OTHER REPAIRS AND RENOVATIONS TO EXTEND THE USEFUL LIFE OF SCHOOL BUILDINGS, REDUCE EMERGENCY REPAIRS, IMPROVE AIR QUALITY, AND ENHANCE ENERGY EFFICIENCY;
- CONSTRUCTING A CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION CENTER TO ENHANCE AND EXPAND VOCATIONAL CLASSES AND PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONAL SPACE FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING, AND MATH (STEM) PROGRAMMING;
- PROVIDING CLASSROOM ADDITIONS AND CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING NEW SCHOOL BUILDINGS TO ADDRESS OVERCROWDING AND FUTURE ENROLLMENT INCREASES;

AND FOR ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING OR IMPROVING ANY CAPITAL ASSETS THAT THE DISTRICT IS AUTHORIZED BY LAW TO OWN;

AND SHALL THE TAXES AUTHORIZED AT THE DISTRICT'S BOND ELECTIONS IN 2002, 2008 AND 2016 BE EXTENDED AND AUTHORIZED TO BE USED TO PAY THE DEBT AUTHORIZED AT THIS ELECTION IN ADDITION TO THE DEBT AUTHORIZED AT SUCH PRIOR ELECTIONS;

SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, WHICH SHALL BEAR INTEREST, MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM OF NOT TO EXCEED 3%, AND BE ISSUED, DATED AND SOLD AT SUCH TIME OR TIMES, AT SUCH PRICES (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AS THE DISTRICT MAY DETERMINE; AND SHALL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE IMPOSED IN ANY YEAR, WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE, TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS AND ANY BONDS ISSUED TO REFINANCE SUCH BONDS AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF;

AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE SUBJECT TO AN ANNUAL INDEPENDENT AUDIT PUBLISHED ON THE DISTRICT'S WEBSITE AND EXPENDITURES WILL BE SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY A BOARD APPOINTED CITIZENS OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST

**Larimer County Grayhawk Knolls
Public Improvement District No. 43**

Ballot Issue 6C

SHALL THE GRAYHAWK KNOLLS PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 43 TAXES BE INCREASED TO \$72,000.00 ANNUALLY (ESTIMATED FIRST FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE IN 2025), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNT AS MAY BE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 14.120 MILLS (FOR A TOTAL MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 34.642), THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER AND BE USED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENT AND CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF ROADS IN THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE DISTRICT ALL AS SET FORTH IN THE 2010 PETITION FOR IMPROVEMENTS AND CREATION OF THE DISTRICT, AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAX, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNINGS ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5.5% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES, IN 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

**Larimer County Tanager Public
Improvement District No. 72**

Ballot Issue 6D

SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$23,800.00 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED TANAGER PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 72 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 6.730 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL TANAGER PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 72 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNING ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5.5% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

**Larimer County Fox Ridge Estates
Public Improvement District No. 79**

Ballot Issue 6E

SHALL TAXES BE INCREASED \$12,000.00 ANNUALLY (IN THE FIRST YEAR) OR SUCH GREATER AMOUNT AS IS RAISED IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PROPOSED FOX RIDGE ESTATES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 79 BY THE IMPOSITION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES AT A MILL LEVY RATE NOT TO EXCEED 9.207 MILLS, THE REVENUES OF WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, SUCH REVENUES TO BE USED FOR THE IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF ROADS AS REQUESTED IN THE PETITION FOR CREATION OF THE DISTRICT AND FOR THE GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES; SHALL THE FOX RIDGE ESTATES PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 79 BE CREATED; AND SHALL THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH TAXES, THE SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES RECEIVED BY THE DISTRICT AND INVESTMENT EARNING ON BOTH CONSTITUTE VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND/OR SPENDING CHANGES AND BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING, REVENUE RAISING OR OTHER LIMITATION CONTAINED WITHIN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; AND SHALL THE DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT AND EXPEND FROM ITS MILL LEVY ANY SUCH AMOUNT WHICH IS MORE THAN THE AMOUNT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE BE PERMITTED UNDER THE 5.5% LIMIT IMPOSED BY SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES IN 2025 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Crystal Lakes Fire Protection District

Ballot Issue 6F

WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL THE CRYSTAL LAKES FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO EXTEND AND RETAIN THE REVENUE FROM UP TO 3 MILLS OF THE 4 MILLS EXPIRING AT THE END OF 2024 AND ORIGINALLY APPROVED BY VOTERS IN 2015 TO SUPPORT A GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND, THROUGH AN EXTENSION AND REASSIGNMENT OF SUCH 3 MILLS, WITHOUT EXPIRATION, IN ADDITION TO ALL OTHER APPROVED MILL LEVIES OF THE DISTRICT, FOR THE PURPOSES OF FUNDING THE ADMINISTRATION, GENERAL OPERATIONS, AND CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT; AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS CONTINUE TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN ANY COLORADO LAW, INCLUDING THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

**St. Vrain and Left Hand Water
Conservancy District**

Ballot Issue 7C

WITH NO INCREASE IN ANY DISTRICT TAX, SHALL THE ST. VRAIN AND LEFT HAND WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT'S EXISTING TAX IMPOSED AT A RATE NOT TO EXCEED 1.25 MILLS BE EXTENDED FOR THE SAME PURPOSES OF:

- PROTECTING WATER QUALITY AND DRINKING WATER SOURCES
- MAINTAINING HEALTHY RIVERS AND CREEKS
- SAFEGUARDING AND CONSERVING DRINKING WATER SUPPLIES FOR LOCAL COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL FOOD PRODUCTION
- PROTECTING FORESTS THAT ARE CRITICAL TO WATER SUPPLY AND REDUCE THE RISK OF WILDFIRES

WITH SUCH EXPENDITURES REPORTED TO THE PUBLIC IN AN ANNUALLY PUBLISHED INDEPENDENT FINANCIAL AUDIT AND OVERSEEN BY A CITIZEN COMMITTEE AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ANY COLORADO LAW INCLUDING THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?

YES/FOR

NO/AGAINST